ENGL 521: Nineteenth-Century Speculative Fiction

Teaching Philosophy

My teaching is informed by critical pedagogy, particularly the work of Paulo Friere, Asao Inue, and Henry Giroux. For Giroux, critical pedagogy means “educating students to take risks and to struggle within ongoing relations of power in order to be able to alter the grounds in which life was lived” (103). You will see that I have scaffolded a variety of active-learning activities and recommended readings. These activities model my belief that different people engage with a course in different ways. Your contributions to these activities are vital and will prove beneficial to your colleagues and to me.

My primary purpose as a teacher is to help develop in students what Friere calls conscientização, or critical consciousness. Critical consciousness entails understanding the social, political, ecological, and technological contradictions shaping the uneven distribution of power in our world; and, furthermore, a willingness to intervene in those conflicts on multiple levels. Too many students are marginalized and silence due to what Inue calls the assessment of “white habitus” as an internalization of racist standards of behavior and achievement that are commonly rewarded by University grading. Alternatively, I grade on participation, effort, and the degree to which you help your colleagues struggle against these categories rather than reifying an abstract and oppressive sense of “ability.” We will all struggle to think and work through these categories in different and uneven ways. I hope you seize the opportunity to learn with us about science fiction, power, ideology, and collective agency in a supportive and progressive environment.
Major Course Texts (available from the Bookie or online).
Course Description

Darko Suvin claims that science fiction is fundamentally concerned with “cognitive estrangement,” or the presence of some element in the story that transforms how its readers understand their world. In fact, much of the developments in science, economics, and politics in the nineteenth century were also concerned with the new worlds revealed by an increasingly industrialized society. Charles Darwin shocked the world by postulating that natural selection determined the habits of human beings, not any divine plan. Voyages to other parts of the planet were revealing new frontiers and new spaces for capitalism and colonialism to exploit. Machines and unskilled labor were replacing artisans with mechanized and standardized commodities. And the hopes and fears inspired by these new worlds reappeared as dreams and nightmares in speculative fiction: Darwin’s theories became the strange human-like animal hybrids of H.G. Wells’s *The Island of Dr. Moreau*, while imperialism inspired the “lost race” novels of H. Rider Haggard and made possible the utopian dreams of William Morris and Charlotte Perkins Gilman.

This course will show how science fiction articulated the hopes and fears Victorians associated with the future. Such anxieties are a symptom of our inability to imagine the future (or the past) in its alterity. Against liberal promises of perpetual progress in which the notion of eventual inclusion tells the oppressed to stave off revolution and reassure the ruling class, science fiction enacts dramas surrounding the true danger and possibility of a future that is entirely unpredictable. In addition to the authors mentioned above, this course will show how women and authors of color used science fiction to challenge the oppressions of their day and imagine futures that asserted their freedom and power.

Objectives

- Produce close readings of key texts that are historically-informed and evidence-based.
- Find relationships between course content and specific research interests or areas of teaching.
- Incorporate theoretical perspectives and historical sources into critical readings of the nineteenth century.
- Respond to critiques of critical theory.
Major Projects: 100 Points Possible

Text Engagements
For each week of class, I will ask you to write a one-paragraph response to the readings for that day. This should include an initial reaction to the readings and at least one question that the readings prompted for you. The question can be one of clarification, if you didn’t understand part of the novel or theoretical reading, or it can be more of an open-ended question meant to foster discussion. We will use these engagements often in class, so please upload them no later than midnight on the Sunday prior to our Monday meeting. 30 points total or 30%.

Discussion Leader
On two days of your choosing, I will ask you to work in groups of two to create a 10-minute presentation associated in some way with the readings of the day, along with 7 questions designed to facilitate discussion of the content and your presentation. The lecture can be used to help you conceptualize your final project. You may also choose to have students engage in some kind of active learning exercise that illustrates the main points you make during your presentation. For examples, see the schedule. 30 Points or 30% total; 15 points each.

Final Project
You will see one hour on most weeks devoted to a “workshop.” These days are designed to help you conceptualize, draft, and revise a final project. This project can take the shape of a traditional seminar paper in which you synthesize a primary reading with secondary and tertiary sources that is related to course content in some broadly-conceived way.

You may also decide to engage in a multimodal or digital project. Whereas the teaching of various tools for digital scholarship is beyond the scope of this particular class, I am happy to help you think through these possibilities and incorporate milestones into the class’s workshop schedule. 40 points or 40%.
Schedule
Assignments Are Due on Date Listed. Schedule May Change with Notice from Me. An Asterisk Before a Reading Denotes A Reading That Is Available On Blackboard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics/Theme</th>
<th>In-Class</th>
<th>Readings Due</th>
<th>Assignments Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Discussion:</strong> Quoted sections by Darko Suvin and China Mieville on the definition of science fiction.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pick a Spinoza keyword to explore for next week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sign up for meetings and presentations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Discussion:</strong> Blake, Wordsworth - Ideology and Estrangement in the nineteenth-century.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Workshop:</strong> Pick three different texts and three different topics that you are interested in exploring for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Lecture:</td>
<td>Discussion:</td>
<td>Workshop:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/22</td>
<td>Nature and Culture</td>
<td>Spinoza, Deleuze, Latour, and new materialism.</td>
<td>Actor-Network Theory and Modernity.</td>
<td>Discuss your proposal. Encourage at least three revisions from each of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>your colleagues proposals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science and Poetry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Textual Content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Porto Praya,” “Tierra del Fuego,” Vaplparaiso, Portillo Pass,”  
Write a 5-page close reading of a primary source.  
Helpful: Eric Hayot, “The Uneven U” and “Structure and
**2/19**  
**Nature and Ecology**  
**Narrative:** Pick out two examples from the reading of anthropomorphism in *On the Origin of Species*. Give us a close reading of how anthropomorphism works in your example and how it compares with Erasmus Darwin’s work on analogy.  
**Discussion:** Evolution and the Non-Human.  
**Workshop:** Revisions of your close reading.  

**2/26**  
**Human and Animal**  
**Historical Readings:** Pick one Appendix from the Broadview edition (not the *H.G. Wells*, *The Island of Dr. Moreau*. Ed. Mason Harris. Peterborough:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2/19</th>
<th>Nature and Ecology</th>
<th>workshop topic</th>
<th>2/26</th>
<th>Human and Animal</th>
<th>workshop topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Narrative:** Pick out two examples from the reading of anthropomorphism in *On the Origin of Species*. Give us a close reading of how anthropomorphism works in your example and how it compares with Erasmus Darwin’s work on analogy. | **Discussion:** Race and Racism in the theory of evolution. | **Workshop:** Present your 5-page close reading to us. Give us your central arguments and show how you back up these arguments with evidence from the text. | **Historical Readings:** Pick one Appendix from the Broadview edition (not the *H.G. Wells*, *The Island of Dr. Moreau*. Ed. Mason Harris. Peterborough: | **Workshop:** Revisions of your close reading. | **Use at least one piece of advice from last week and revise your close reading.**

Helpful:  
Eric Hayot, “A Materialist Theory of Writing” and “How Do Readers Work?”

---

Darwin’s depiction of nature compare with Shelley?  

**Discussion:** Race and Racism in the theory of evolution.  

**Workshop:** Present your 5-page close reading to us. Give us your central arguments and show how you back up these arguments with evidence from the text.

“Galapagos Archipelago,” and “Mauritius.”


Use at least one piece of advice from last week and revise your close reading.
reviews or Evolution 1), read the supplemental materials, and give us a 10-minute summary on what you learned.

**Discussion:** Animal Studies and Wells.

**Workshop:** Introductions and Scholarly Conversations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3/5</th>
<th>Human and Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrialists and Revolutionaries:</strong> Find one point of contention between Babbage and Marx regarding machinery and labor. What does this disagreement reveal about their sense of human agency?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion:</strong> Marx and the Non-Human.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop:</strong> Bibliographies, Secondary and Tertiary Sources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3/5</th>
<th>Human and Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tamara Ketabgian,</strong> “Human Parts and Prosthetic Networks: The Victorian Factory and Mesmeric Forces.” <em>The Lives of the Machines:</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Eric Hayot,* “Introductions” and “Institutional Contexts” and “Citational Practice” and “Work as Process”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3/12   | Fiction and Utopia             | **Historical Readings:** Pick one Appendix from the Broadview edition (not the reviews or Evolution 1), read the supplemental materials, and give us a 10-minute summary on what you learned.  
**Discussion:** Morris and Williams.  
**Workshop:** Outlining and Structure | Bring a draft outline of your final project to class.  
Helpful: Eric Hayot: “Structure and Subordination” and “Ending Well” |
| 3/19   | History and the Future         | **Positioning Jameson and Utopia:** Pick one chapter from Archaeologies that demonstrates Wegner’s argument about Jameson in his essay. Tell us what Jameson is arguing and how it revises Williams’s discussion of base and superstructure.  
**Lecture:** Freud, Lacan, Marx, and Jameson.  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Women and Men | **Utopias:** Examine Jameson’s reading of Bloch and compare it with Munoz’s. How do each theorist’s reading of desire change their understanding of utopia?  
**Jose Estaban Munoz,** “Introduction” and “Chapter 1.” *Cruising Utopia: The Then and There of Queer Futurity.* New York: NYU Press, 2009. 1-32. | Bring the equivalent of 4 pages of material to class that you will merge with the 5-page close reading you’ve already completed.  
**Helpful:** Eric Hayot, “Eight Strategies for Getting Writing Done” and “Work as a Process” |
| Colonialism and Occultism | **Historical Readings:** Pick one Appendix from the Broadview edition (not the reviews or Evolution 1), read the supplemental materials, and give us a 10-minute summary on what you learned.  
| Black and White | **Comparisons:** Pick out two similarities between Haggard and Hopkins’s novel. How does Hopkins transform Haggard’s colonial adventure story?  
project to the class. Give at least 3 suggestions for improvement.

| Final Week | **Text Engagement:** History and Afrofuturism. | | Final Projects Due. |
Course Values:

Collaboration and Reading: I see reading to be a collective project – not an individual one – that emphasizes inclusion, good faith, and comradery. I reject the vision of graduate education that separates, marginalizes, and intimidates various groups of students for the veneration of a few, who are usually white and male. As such, I do not expect any one of my students to read and know everything – in fact, I would be suspicious of a student who presented themselves in that way. Instead, we collectively construct the critical dimensions of our intervention into culture, literature, theory, and life. Your participation is essential in that process.

University of Florida professor Philip Wegner encourages his students to form a dialogue with the readings in the course “being attentive to their respective voices, acknowledging their particular historical and otherwise contingent beings-in-the-world, and finally working to imagine how we today might best retool the insights and modes of analysis of their various ‘unfinished projects.’” Many of our readings will be progressive in some ways and regressive in others. I ask that, however possible, you bracket your initial emotional response to what you read and develop complex insights to the works we examine. Consider that your first encounter with these authors might not exhaust their power or importance to your education, and whether or not you like a particular work may have no relevance to its importance as a historical or ideological source of knowledge. Some of my favorite books were ones I didn’t like when I first read them.

Inclusion: Your success in this class is important to me. We will all need accommodations because we all learn differently. If there are aspects of this course that prevent you from learning or exclude you, please let me know as soon as possible. The sooner I know about these, the earlier we can discuss possible adjustments or alternative arrangements that might help you. If you have a documentable disability, please visit the Access Center (Washington Building 217; 509.335.3417) to schedule an appointment with an advisor.
**Academic Honesty:** Everyone in this class, including me, must abide by the standards of academic honesty set up by Washington State University. See that statement here: [http://wsulibs.wsu.edu/library-instruction/plagiarism](http://wsulibs.wsu.edu/library-instruction/plagiarism). I work hard to model appropriate academic citation. Please see me if you are unclear about any of these requirements.

**Email:** I would rather talk to you in person than via email, since email depersonalizes the exchange and makes it easier for me to misinterpret what you mean. If emailing me is necessary, please allow me at least 24 hours to respond to your email inquiries. I try to respond in a timely manner, but I do not always check my email when not in town or on the weekends.

**Safety:** Washington State University is committed to enhancing the safety of the students, faculty, staff, and visitors. It is highly recommended that you review the Campus Safety Plan ([http://safetyplan.wsu.edu/](http://safetyplan.wsu.edu/)) and visit the Office of Emergency Management website ([http://oem.wsu.edu/](http://oem.wsu.edu/)) for a comprehensive listing of university policies, procedures, statistics, and information related to campus safety, emergency management, and the health and welfare of the campus community.
Sources

Readings:


Policies and Design:

Ashley Boyd. “Young Adult Literature.” Fall 2015: Washington State U.


